ı			
	Case 1:20-cv-01014-BAM Document	6 Filed 07/22/20	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	DAVID ERNESTO MACKEY,	No. 2:20-CV-12	244-DMC-P
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>	
14	BRANDON PRICE, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Plaintiff, who is proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to 42		
18	U.S.C. § 1983.		
19	For cases such as this, which are based on federal question jurisdiction, the federal		
20	venue statute requires that the action be brought only in (1) a judicial district where any defendant		
21	resides, if all defendants reside in the same State, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part		
22	of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that		
23	is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be		
24	found, if there is no district in which the action may otherwise be brought. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C.		
25	§ 1391(b). In this case, the alleged civil rights violations took place in Fresno County, which is		
26	within the boundaries of the Fresno division of the United States District Court for the Eastern		
27	District of California. See Local Rule 120(b). Pursuant to Local Rule 120(d), a civil action		

which has not been commenced in the proper division of the court may, on the court's own

## Case 1:20-cv-01014-BAM Document 6 Filed 07/22/20 Page 2 of 2 motion, be transferred to the proper division. Because the Sacramento division of this court is not the proper division, this action will be transferred to the Fresno division. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California sitting in Fresno. Dated: July 22, 2020 DENNIS M. COTA UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE